#### Phase transitions in full counting statistics

Dmitri Ivanov<sup>1,2</sup>, Alexander Abanov<sup>3</sup>, Vadim Cheianov<sup>4</sup>, Yachao Qian<sup>3</sup>, Roman Süsstrunk<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ETH Zürich
 <sup>2</sup> University of Zürich
 <sup>3</sup> Stony Brook University
 <sup>4</sup> Lancaster University

October 2012

EPL 92, 37008 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2687]
J. Phys. A 44, 4850 (2011) [arXiv:1108.1355]
arXiv:1112.2530, 1203.6325, and 1208.5845

## Motivation

- "Counting phase transitions" a new way to describe correlations and characterize thermodynamic phases
- Originally motivated by "full counting statistics" (FCS) in mesoscopic physics: e.g., describing electronic noise in microcontacts
- Applicable to a wide range of systems (classical and quantum)
- For quadratic fermionic systems, related to the theory of Toeplitz determinants (Fisher-Hartwig conjecture)
- Earlier studies of phase transitions in various FCS problems: Garrahan '07, Levkivskyi and Sukhorukov '09, Karzig and von Oppen '10

# Outline

- "Counting phase transitions" in classical and quantum systems
- Example 1: (classical) 1D Ising model new "counitng phase transition"
- Example 2: (quantum) 1D free fermions subleading terms for FCS and entanglement entropy
- Example 3: (quantum) XY spin-1/2 chain new interpretation of the old phase diagram

## Full counting statistics

Measuring a discrete observable:



Generating function:

$$\chi_t(\lambda) = \sum_n P_n e^{i\lambda n}$$

t – duration of measurement (or length of the interval),  $P_n$  – probability of counting n events (e.g., transmitting charge n)

#### Properties of the generating function

- Periodicity:  $\chi_t(\lambda) = \chi_t(\lambda + 2\pi)$  reflects charge quantization
- Multiplicativity for independent processes ("partition function"):  $\chi_{A+B}(\lambda) = \chi_A(\lambda) \cdot \chi_B(\lambda)$
- In a periodic setup (with a period  $\tau$ ), define the extensive part:

$$\chi^{(0)}(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[ \chi_{N\tau}(\lambda) \right]^{1/N}$$

## "Counting" phase transitions

 $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$  is periodic in  $\lambda$  (by construction), but:

For any t, the observable is limited  $\Rightarrow \chi_t(\lambda)$  is analytic on the circle  $z=e^{i\lambda}$ 

but

 $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$  may develop a singularity

⇒ PHASE TRANSITION



### Example 1 (classical): 1D lsing model



Solving by transfer matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{N+1}^+(\lambda)\\ \chi_{N+1}^-(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \chi_N^+(\lambda)\\ \chi_N^-(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$  – largest eigenvalue of the transfer matrix M

# Example 1: 1D Ising model, phase diagram



Phase transition line:  $\cosh \Gamma = e^{2J}$ 

Bifurcation in the (complex) correlation length for the Jordan–Wigner string

$$V_{\pi}(j) = \prod_{k=j_0}^{j} \sigma_k$$

(not a phase transition in the usual sense!)

### Example 2 (quantum): 1D free fermions



Ground state: states with momenta  $|k| < k_F$  filled:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{|k| < k_F} a^+(k) |0\rangle$$

Generating function for counting statistics:

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \langle \Psi | e^{i\lambda N_L} | \Psi \rangle , \qquad N_L = \sum_{j=1}^L a_j^+ a_j$$

### Example 2: 1D free fermions (continued)

Average and fluctuations:

$$\langle N_L \rangle = \frac{k_F}{\pi} L \qquad \langle \langle N_L^2 \rangle \rangle \sim \frac{1}{\pi^2} \ln L$$

(either by bosonization or via Wick theorem)  $\Rightarrow$  fluctuations grow slower than L

At the Gaussian level (continuous bosonization):

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \exp\left(i\lambda\langle N\rangle - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\langle\!\langle N_L^2\rangle\!\rangle\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \chi^{(0)}(\lambda) = \exp\left(i\frac{\lambda}{\pi}k_F\right)$$

- NOT periodic in  $\lambda$  (neglects charge discreteness)

## Example 2: 1D free fermions and Toeplitz determinants



Non-analytic  $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$ : switching branches at  $\lambda = \pi$ 

Restoring periodicity by expressing  $\chi_L(\lambda)$  as a Toeplitz determinant

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \det \left[ 1 + (e^{i\lambda} - 1) \langle a_j^+ a_k \rangle \right] =: \det \sigma_{jk}$$

Fourier transform ("symbol") contains jumps at the Fermi points:

$$\sigma(q) = 1 + (e^{i\lambda} - 1) n_F(q)$$

— extending the Fisher–Hartwig conjecture [Kozlowski '08, Kitanine et al '09, Deift, Its, Krasovsky '09, Calabrese, Essler '10] to obtain a full asymptotic expansion

#### Example 2: 1D free fermions: full asymptotic expansion

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda - 2\pi n)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_L(\lambda) = \exp\left[i\lambda \frac{k_F}{\pi}L - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}\ln(2L\sin k_F) + F_0(\lambda) + F_1(\lambda, k_F)L^{-1} + \dots\right]$$

lattice version: conjectured [Calabrese, Essler '10] continuous limit  $(k_F \rightarrow 0)$ : verified analytically (order by order) [DI, Abanov, Cheianov '11]

$$F_0(\lambda) = 2\ln \left| G\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right) G\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right) \right| \qquad (G - \text{Barnes G function})$$

$$F_1(\lambda, k_F) = -\frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\right)^3 \cot k_F, \qquad F_2(\lambda, k_F) = \dots$$

## Example 2: 1D free fermions, contnuous limit

In the continuous limit, two alternative methods:

- matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem [Cheianov, Zvonarev '03]
- Painlevé V equation [McCoy, Tang '86]

$$F_n(\lambda, k_F) = (ik_F)^{-n} f_n\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)$$

and all  $f_n$  are polynomials with rational coefficients:

$$f_1(\kappa) = 2\kappa^3 \qquad f_3(\kappa) = \frac{11}{2}\kappa^5 + \frac{1}{6}\kappa^3$$
$$f_2(\kappa) = \frac{5}{2}\kappa^4 \qquad f_4(\kappa) = \frac{63}{4}\kappa^6 + \frac{13}{8}\kappa^4$$

and so on (computable order by order)

Example 2: 1D free fermions, entanglement entropy

$$\rho_A = \operatorname{Tr}_B \rho_{\text{pure}}, \qquad \mathcal{S} = -\operatorname{Tr} \rho_A \ln \rho_A$$

For free particles, spectrum of  $\rho_A$  (and hence entanglement entropy S) follows from the full counting statitics  $\chi(\lambda)$  [Klich and Levitov '09, Song et al '11, Calabrese, Mintchev and Vicari '12]

Carrying over our results from  $\chi(\lambda)$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  [DI, Süsstrunk '12]:

$$S = \frac{1}{3}\ln(k_F L) + C + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{2n}(k_F L)^{-2n}$$
$$s_2 = -\frac{1}{12}, \quad s_4 = -\frac{31}{96}, \quad s_6 = -\frac{7057}{1440}, \quad \dots$$

All  $s_{2n}$  are rational numbers computable order by order

Example 3 (quantum): XY spin-1/2 chain in a Z field

$$H = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right) \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y - \frac{h}{h} \sigma_j^z \right]$$



Counting up spins:

$$\chi_L(\lambda) = \langle e^{i\lambda N_L} \rangle_{T=0} \sim [\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)]^L, \qquad N_L = \sum_{j=1}^L \frac{1 + \sigma_j^z}{2}$$

generalization of the free-fermion example, mapping onto 1D quadratic BCS Hamiltonian ⇒ Toeplitz determinant, Szegő formula

# Example 3: XY spin chain, phase diagram

Three "counting phases":



- Nonanalytic phase I: phase jump at λ = π (similar to the free-fermion case)
- Nonanalytic phase II: phase jumps at intermediate  $\lambda_c$
- Analytic phase: fully polarized state with local pair excitations.

— the same phase diagram in terms of spin correlations [Barouch, McCoy '71]

### Summary and comments

- 1. "Counting phase transitions": a new way to describe correlations in terms of the analytic properties of  $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$
- 2. Works for classical and quantum systems
- 3. Can also be applied to systems in higher dimensions:



- 4. Singularities in  $\chi^{(0)}(\lambda)$  may occur at various values of  $\lambda$  [only at  $\lambda = \pi$  for noninteracting fermions]
- 5. New results on the Fisher–Hartwig conjecture and entanglement for free 1D fermions

### Summary and comments: 2

- 6. Physical signatures are subtle:
  - in 1D: nonanalyticity of the correlation length for Jordan–Wigner strings
  - in any D: "twisted cumulant"

$$\langle \langle n^2 \rangle \rangle_{\Lambda} = \langle n^2 \rangle_{\Lambda} - \langle n \rangle_{\Lambda}^2 = (-i\partial_{\lambda})^2 \ln \chi(\lambda)|_{\lambda = \Lambda}$$

where  $\langle A \rangle_{\Lambda} := \langle e^{i\Lambda n} A \rangle / \langle e^{i\Lambda n} \rangle$ 

 $\rightarrow$  grows as t in the analytic phase and as  $t^2$  in the nonanalytic phase